

Institutional Planning Council Fall Planning Retreat
October 31, 2008

Evaluation

Use the spaces below to submit your observations and evaluation of the 2008 IPC planning retreat. This info will help us improve the retreat next year.

Overall experience today:

Very good; good; Participated only in part of it because of another meeting; productive and informative; OK; very good; very nice job; worthwhile- exceeded expectations!; excellent; good; good; Good, I would like there to be an overall presentation of retreat goals that have been accomplished; great job, thank you; very positive. I learned a lot; good experience; very informational; good dialog and organization; I came late but liked what I was present for; good; good; best of the three I have attended; it was not the painful experience I anticipated (smile). Seriously, the format was more interactive and engaging than I visualized.

Facilities, location, food:

great; very good breakfast, good location, Kool Décor!; great and thanks; great!; very pleasant; very good; great; fabulous!; excellent +++; not all of us live south of the river, food was good; everything was good; great!; great; food choices were very good. Your staff are very creative; good; yummy—great location and facilities; OK; good; good; excellent; fine but prefer more central location, food is food; very conducive environment. The Halloween theme was excellent!

Presentations:

Very good!; 1st OK; very interesting data; well done!; OK; very good; good; well done- helpful; well planned and delivered; good; ok; I enjoyed the presentations but would have liked more data analysis and important issues; great; Mike McCarthy's presentation was too long; good information. Good presentations by staff; thanks for allowing questions and the open discussion; stimulated effective discussion was inclusive; best ever; good; well done and informative; thanks for letting groups ask questions. That helped; the opportunity to ask questions and exchange ideas was better than "lecture/presentation"

Small group activity:

We probably should have selected each a different room; left too soon to participate; unable to participate; fun; interesting; good; Creative activity- nice! Not enough time to do it justice, there wasn't enough time to truly orient ourselves to the relevant data and explore the issues and possibilities; very creative- hope something comes out of the ideas generated; good; unclear what goal was – will IPC address “treats?” Will “treats” become part of planning? Where do all the handwritten notes from all the IPC small group activities go? What effect do they have on planning?; ok; excellent; thorough—the time was well spent!; very interesting and informative; more group discussions with better presentations of the outcome; very good tool—cut out the background music. The groups are all talking and the room has no sound absorption; good—needs more structure, not so dramatic; very unique; need to better tie to existing MP process; N/A; good; good; I know brainstorming is helpful, but more specificity here as to the goals would give better results; excellent!

Comments for the good of the Group:

Very good- the idea of “grand unveiling” of reports/data retrieval was a hit! Maybe the data warehouse (or application of it) could be unveiled next year, Thank you for the work, preparation of the entire OIEA staff—very professional, expert, knowledgeable, IPC Membership: I implore you to include: Dir.. Of SL & Dir. Of Spec. Pop. (Cheryl R. and Steve C.) Also Terry Mouchayleh—if she isn't already, I can't remember; it was fun!; keep on truckin'!; a few no-shows and early outs, but that is expected; It was hard to hear others at the same table; good meeting; you all did a wonderful job; great giveaways; clever, fun, informative—thanks!; good meeting; ya'll are getting better and better with each year; thanks; the room arrangement in groups was a great idea!