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AVP for Information Technology

The Internal College Survey was administered on the web to ACC employees in Summer 2006. Respondents were asked to rate their satisfaction with overall quality, promptness of service, and the service attitude provided by support offices in the previous year. The survey was designed to reflect the organizational structure of ACC in Fall 2005; therefore, all analysis is based on that structure. There were 481 respondents to the survey.

Who uses this office?

Of the 481 survey respondents, 94 (19.5%) reported having requested or received services from the Office of the AVP for Information Technology in the past year. Of those respondents:

- 79% (74 respondents) were Non-faculty (includes Administrators, Classified Employees, and Professional/Technical Employees);
- 16% (15 respondents) were Full-time Faculty;
- 5% (5 respondents) were Adjunct Faculty (includes Continuing and Adult Education Faculty).

How satisfied are employees with overall services from this office?

Results of the Summer 2006 Internal College Survey indicate slight decreases in mean satisfaction for overall quality, promptness and service attitude from Spring 2005. Mean ratings of satisfaction with all three service dimensions decreased between 2003 and 2004, increased moderately between 2004 and 2005 and have now decreased to be above but very close to the 2003 levels.
For each office survey respondents were asked if they had used the services of that office during the past year. If they indicated they had used the services of the office they were then directed to an additional screen where they were asked to rate their satisfaction with the office on overall quality, promptness and service attitude. Not every employee responded to all questions about an office. Survey respondents also had the option of rating “not applicable” on the three dimensions of service and these responses are excluded from the analysis. Thus, the total number of respondents for each question might vary, yielding slightly different Ns.

### Office of the AVP for Information Technology

**Comparison of Survey Results**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2003</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>2004</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>2005</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>2006</th>
<th></th>
<th>Mean Diff. 05-06</th>
<th>Mean Diff. 03-06</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>Std.</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>Std.</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>Std.</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dev.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Dev.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Dev.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Dev.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>overall quality</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>4.29</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>4.12</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>4.42</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>4.33</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>-0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>promptness</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>4.19</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>4.04</td>
<td>1.16</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>4.43</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>4.27</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>-0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>service attitude</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>4.33</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>4.11</td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>4.45</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>4.34</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>-0.11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Satisfaction Scores**

1 = Very Dissatisfied
2 = Dissatisfied
3 = Neutral
4 = Satisfied
5 = Very Satisfied
Application Programming

The Internal College Survey was administered on the web to ACC employees in Summer 2006. Respondents were asked to rate their satisfaction with overall quality, promptness of service, and the service attitude provided by support offices in the previous year. The survey was designed to reflect the organizational structure of ACC in Fall 2005; therefore, all analysis is based on that structure. There were 481 respondents to the survey.

Who uses this office?

Of the 481 survey respondents, 117 (24.3%) reported having requested or received services from Application Programming Office in the past year. Of those respondents:

- 73% (86 respondents) were Non-faculty (includes Administrators, Classified Employees, and Professional/Technical Employees);
- 18% (36 respondents) were Full-time Faculty;
- 9% (19 respondents) were Adjunct Faculty (includes Continuing and Adult Education Faculty).

How satisfied are employees with overall services from this office?

Due to organizational restructuring, there was not a comparable office to Application Programming in the previous survey periods.
IT Operations

The Internal College Survey was administered on the web to ACC employees in Summer 2006. Respondents were asked to rate their satisfaction with overall quality, promptness of service, and the service attitude provided by support offices in the previous year. The survey was designed to reflect the organizational structure of ACC in Fall 2005; therefore, all analysis is based on that structure. There were 481 respondents to the survey.

Who uses this office?

Of the 481 survey respondents, 211 (43.9%) reported having requested or received services from IT Operations in the past year. Of those respondents:

- 74% (156 respondents) were Non-faculty (includes Administrators, Classified Employees, and Professional/Technical Employees);
- 17% (36 respondents) were Full-time Faculty;
- 9% (19 respondents) were Adjunct Faculty (includes Continuing and Adult Education Faculty).

How satisfied are employees with overall services from this office?

Due to organizational restructuring, there was not a comparable office to IT Operations in the previous survey periods.
Email Systems

The Internal College Survey was administered on the web to ACC employees in Summer 2006. Respondents were asked to rate their satisfaction with overall quality, promptness of service, and the service attitude provided by support offices in the previous year. The survey was designed to reflect the organizational structure of ACC in Fall 2005; therefore, all analysis is based on that structure. There were 481 respondents to the survey.

Who uses this office?

Of the 481 survey respondents, 289 (60%) reported having requested or received services from the Email Systems Office in the past year. Of those respondents:

- 60% (172 respondents) were Non-faculty (includes Administrators, Classified Employees, and Professional/Technical Employees);
- 20% (57 respondents) were Full-time Faculty;
- 21% (60 respondents) were Adjunct Faculty (includes Continuing and Adult Education Faculty).

How satisfied are employees with overall services from this office?

Results of the Summer 2006 Internal College Survey indicate slight increases in mean satisfaction for promptness and service attitude, and a slight decrease in overall quality from Spring 2005.

![E-Mail Systems Satisfaction Over Time Graph](image-url)
For each office survey respondents were asked if they had used the services of that office during the past year. If they indicated they had used the services of the office they were then directed to an additional screen where they were asked to rate their satisfaction with the office on overall quality, promptness and service attitude. Not every employee responded to all questions about an office. Survey respondents also had the option of rating “not applicable” on the three dimensions of service and these responses are excluded from the analysis. Thus, the total number of respondents for each question might vary, yielding slightly different Ns.

### Email Systems

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2005</th>
<th></th>
<th>2006</th>
<th></th>
<th>Mean Diff. 05-06</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>Std. Dev.</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Mean</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>overall quality</td>
<td>265</td>
<td>4.26</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>288</td>
<td>4.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>promptness</td>
<td>258</td>
<td>4.30</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>285</td>
<td>4.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>service attitude</td>
<td>258</td>
<td>4.36</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>285</td>
<td>4.39</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Satisfaction Scores**

1= Very Dissatisfied
2= Dissatisfied
3= Neutral
4= Satisfied
5= Very Satisfied
Help Desk (Help Center)

The Internal College Survey was administered on the web to ACC employees in Summer 2006. Respondents were asked to rate their satisfaction with overall quality, promptness of service, and the service attitude provided by support offices in the previous year. The survey was designed to reflect the organizational structure of ACC in Fall 2005; therefore, all analysis is based on that structure. There were 481 respondents to the survey.

**Who uses this office?**

Of the 481 survey respondents, 358 (74.4%) reported having requested or received services from the Help Desk (Help Center) Office in the past year. Of those respondents:
- 66% (238 respondents) were Non-faculty (includes Administrators, Classified Employees, and Professional/Technical Employees);
- 20% (72 respondents) were Full-time Faculty;
- 13% (48 respondents) were Adjunct Faculty (includes Continuing and Adult Education Faculty).

**How satisfied are employees with overall services from this office?**

Results of the Summer 2006 Internal College Survey indicate slight increases in mean satisfaction for overall quality, promptness and service attitude from Spring 2005. Since 2003 mean ratings of these three dimensions have increased steadily. The only exception to this trend is for promptness which remained at approximately the same level between 2003 and 2004.
For each office survey respondents were asked if they had used the services of that office during the past year. If they indicated they had used the services of the office they were then directed to an additional screen where they were asked to rate their satisfaction with the office on overall quality, promptness and service attitude. Not every employee responded to all questions about an office. Survey respondents also had the option of rating “not applicable” on the three dimensions of service and these responses are excluded from the analysis. Thus, the total number of respondents for each question might vary, yielding slightly different Ns.

### Help Desk (Help Center)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2003 N</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Std. Dev.</th>
<th>2004 N</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Std. Dev.</th>
<th>2005 N</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Std. Dev.</th>
<th>2006 N</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Std. Dev.</th>
<th>Mean Diff, 05-06</th>
<th>Mean Diff, 03-06</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>overall quality</td>
<td>243</td>
<td>4.21</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>302</td>
<td>4.28</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>456</td>
<td>4.47</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>356</td>
<td>4.65</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>promptness</td>
<td>237</td>
<td>4.17</td>
<td>1.16</td>
<td>292</td>
<td>4.15</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>442</td>
<td>4.45</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>356</td>
<td>4.62</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>service attitude</td>
<td>239</td>
<td>4.38</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>290</td>
<td>4.42</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>439</td>
<td>4.57</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>356</td>
<td>4.69</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.31</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Satisfaction Scores
1= Very Dissatisfied
2= Dissatisfied
3= Neutral
4= Satisfied
5= Very Satisfied
Institutional Records – Retention and Storage  
(formerly Records Management Office)

The Internal College Survey was administered on the web to ACC employees in Summer 2006. Respondents were asked to rate their satisfaction with overall quality, promptness of service, and the service attitude provided by support offices in the previous year. The survey was designed to reflect the organizational structure of ACC in Fall 2005; therefore, all analysis is based on that structure. There were 481 respondents to the survey.

Who uses this office?

Of the 481 survey respondents, 83 (17.3%) reported having requested or received services from the Institutional Records – Retention and Storage Office in the past year. Of those respondents:

- 78% (65 respondents) were Non-faculty (includes Administrators, Classified Employees, and Professional/Technical Employees);
- 14% (12 respondents) were Full-time Faculty;
- 7% (6 respondents) were Adjunct Faculty (includes Continuing and Adult Education Faculty).

How satisfied are employees with overall services from this office?

Results of the Summer 2006 Internal College Survey indicate mean satisfaction for overall quality, promptness and service attitude remained at approximately the same level as in Spring 2005. Since 2003 mean ratings of these three dimensions have showed a modest increase for all three dimensions.
For each office survey respondents were asked if they had used the services of that office during the past year. If they indicated they had used the services of the office they were then directed to an additional screen where they were asked to rate their satisfaction with the office on overall quality, promptness and service attitude. Not every employee responded to all questions about an office. Survey respondents also had the option of rating “not applicable” on the three dimensions of service and these responses are excluded from the analysis. Thus, the total number of respondents for each question might vary, yielding slightly different Ns.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2003</th>
<th>2004</th>
<th>2005</th>
<th>2006</th>
<th>Mean Diff. 05-06</th>
<th>Mean Diff. 03-06</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Institutional Records - Storage and Retrieval</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>overall quality</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>3.88</td>
<td>1.31</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>3.96</td>
<td>1.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>promptness</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>3.86</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>3.93</td>
<td>1.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>service attitude</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>4.01</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>3.98</td>
<td>1.32</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Satisfaction Scores
1= Very Dissatisfied
2= Dissatisfied
3= Neutral
4= Satisfied
5= Very Satisfied
The Internal College Survey was administered on the web to ACC employees in Summer 2006. Respondents were asked to rate their satisfaction with overall quality, promptness of service, and the service attitude provided by support offices in the previous year. The survey was designed to reflect the organizational structure of ACC in Fall 2005; therefore, all analysis is based on that structure. There were 481 respondents to the survey.

**Who uses this office?**

Of the 481 survey respondents, 219 (45.5%) reported having requested or received services from the Telephone Services Office in the past year. Of those respondents:
- 74% (162 respondents) were Non-faculty (includes Administrators, Classified Employees, and Professional/Technical Employees);
- 16% (35 respondents) were Full-time Faculty;
- 10% (22 respondents) were Adjunct Faculty (includes Continuing and Adult Education Faculty).

**How satisfied are employees with overall services from this office?**

Results of the Summer 2006 Internal College Survey indicate moderate increases in mean satisfaction for overall quality, promptness and service attitude from Spring 2005. Since 2003 mean ratings of these three dimensions have showed a moderate increase for all three dimensions.
For each office survey respondents were asked if they had used the services of that office during the past year. If they indicated they had used the services of the office they were then directed to an additional screen where they were asked to rate their satisfaction with the office on overall quality, promptness and service attitude. Not every employee responded to all questions about an office. Survey respondents also had the option of rating “not applicable” on the three dimensions of service and these responses are excluded from the analysis. Thus, the total number of respondents for each question might vary, yielding slightly different Ns.

### Telephone Services
Comparison of Survey Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2003</th>
<th></th>
<th>2004</th>
<th></th>
<th>2005</th>
<th></th>
<th>2006</th>
<th></th>
<th>Mean Diff. 05-06</th>
<th>Mean Diff. 03-06</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>overall quality</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>4.25</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>4.39</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>268</td>
<td>4.41</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>218</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>promptness</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>4.24</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>4.37</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>265</td>
<td>4.38</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>217</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>service attitude</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>4.26</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>4.43</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>265</td>
<td>4.45</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>216</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Satisfaction Scores
1= Very Dissatisfied
2= Dissatisfied
3= Neutral
4= Satisfied
5= Very Satisfied