Support Services Review Update form AY2013

Update Year: 2013 SSR Review Year: AY2011

Unit Name: AY11 - Bridge - Supplemental Instruction

Unit Review Leader: Mary Gllmer

Data Update: (Please enter and update information)

Improvement	Measure	Baseline	Target	Current
Improve completion rates	Success rates of students			68 %
of students by attending	who attend SI sessions will	success	suppor	success
Supplemental Instruction	be compared to students			rate for
Study sessions as compared to	•	students	_	studen
students who do not attend	sessions to ensure that	who	3 of	ts who
SI.	attending Supplemental	attend	the	atte
Modification:	Instruction does increase	SI, 51%	Studen	nded
By offering Supplemental	the success rates in class.	success	t	SI, and
Instruction in more Gateway	The number of students	rate for	success	56%
courses, more students will	who attend SI sessions will	students		success
attend SI and this will increase	be measured.	who	initiati	rates
more students' success rates.		did not	ve in	for
		attend	their	studen
		SI, 523	target	ts who
		students	of	did not
		attended	increas	attend
		SI	ing	SI, 625
			success	student
			rates	s attend
			for	SI in Fall
			develo	2012-
			plment	19.5%
			al and	increase
			gatewa	
			У	
			course	
			s.	
Increase outreach and	The number of students	523	2%	625
attendance in Supplemental	who attend SI will be	students,	incre	student
Instruction.	measures as well as the	3026	ase in	s, 3435
	number of contact hours	contact	contact	contact
	(session visits).	hours,	hours	hours
		Fall 2011	each	
			Fall	

Support Services Review Update form AY2013

Outreach for College Bridge.	Measure enrollment	301	15%	205
Increase the number of		students	incre	stud
students enrolling in Bridge		summer	ase in	ents
sections		2010	enroll	summer
			ment	2012

Enter the information from last year's report into the corresponding fields and fill in the undates

Narrative Update: (Please supply a narrative explanation on the progress of your improvement)

For Supplemental Instruction, we know that the students who attend SI succeed at higher rates than the students who do not attend SI. I modified Initiative one based on the evaluation from last year noting that it was not changed. The goal of increasing completion rates of students who attend SI was essentially a focus on offering SI to more students by increasing the number of sections offered in Gateway courses as set out in the five year plan. With this basis, it makes sense to see that more students are succeeding with SI. Therefore, initiative one was modified to include the number of students who have been successful in the fall semester. As you can see, there was a 19.5% increase in the number of students who attended SI sessions, so more students were included in the group of students with a 68% success rate. Supplemental Instruction is one of the pieces in place to support goal 2 and goal 3 of the student success initiative. Next fall, more classes will be supported by Supplemental Instruction in order to help more students succeed by attending SI sessions.

For Initiative 2, 19.5% increase in the number of students who attended SI sessions since the baseline semester of fall 2011, and a 13.5% increase in number of session visits. The target goal of 2% increase was added based on the evaluation of the SSR update last year. There was no target listed, so I set a goal of a 2% increase. Obviously this goal will need to be reconsidered for next year.

For initiative three, increased attendance in College Bridge. This goal was not met last year, and was not an improvement. The narrative from last year also did not describe this as an improvement. And this year enrollment fell by three students. The bridge "specialist" adviser at each campus did not work to increase enrollment. This year, I am working with marketing to increase outreach to the potential bridge students. We have also added a Reading workshop and a Writing workshop, to help more students. The additional workshops were based on the requirements from THECB that there will need to be assessment preparation required of all students. The requirements will be fazed in slowly, so these workshops are added as a face to face option a year to a year and a half before the requirements from THECB

Support Services Review Update form AY2013

fall into place. Hopefully, the efforts with these workshops will assist in the creation of an online version for students as well.

To answer the question of accountability, I am responsible for collecting the data for the review each year and I am responsible for reporting this information on the SSR update each year. I am also responsible for monitoring both the College Bridge program and the Supplemental Instruction program.

Finally, to answer the question of the impact on the college mission, as mentioned in the initial report, both programs have the goal of college retention and program completion. We meet part [d] of the college mission and parts [5c and 5f] of the intended outcomes of Austin Community College.